Michigan Department of Treasury 496 (02/06) Auditing Procedures Report

	ssued under P.A. 2 of 1968, as amended and P.A. 71 of 1919, as amended.												
Local Unit of Government Type				_	_	Local Unit Nam	е		County				
	County City Twp Village			Other									
Fiscal Year End Opinion Date				Opinion Date			Date Audit Report Subm	itted to State					
We affirm that:													
We	Ve are certified public accountants licensed to practice in Michigan.												
We further affirm the following material, "no" responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the notes, or in the Management Letter (report of comments and recommendations).													
	YES	9	Check ea	Check each applicable box below. (See instructions for further detail.)									
1.					nent units/funds es to the financ				inancial state	ancial statements and/or disclosed in the			
2.			There are no accumulated deficits in one or more of this unit's unreserved fund balances/unrestricted net assets (P.A. 275 of 1980) or the local unit has not exceeded its budget for expenditures.										
3.			The local unit is in compliance with the Uniform Chart of Accounts issued by the Department of Treasury.										
4.			The local	ne local unit has adopted a budget for all required funds.									
5.			A public h	A public hearing on the budget was held in accordance with State statute.									
6.			The local unit has not violated the Municipal Finance Act, an order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act, or other guidance as issued by the Local Audit and Finance Division.							cy Municipal Loan Act, or			
7.			The local	unit has n	ot been delinqu	uent in dist	ributing tax re	venues that were colle	cted for ano	ther taxing unit.			
8.			The local	unit only h	nolds deposits/i	nvestment	s that comply	with statutory requiren	nents.				
9.			The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as defined in the <i>Bulletin for Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan</i> , as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin).										
10.			There are no indications of defalcation, fraud or embezzlement, which came to our attention during the course of our audit that have not been previously communicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division (LAFD). If there is such activity that have not been communicated, please submit a separate report under separate cover.										
11.			The local unit is free of repeated comments from previous years.										
12.			The audit opinion is UNQUALIFIED.										
13.					omplied with G g principles (G		GASB 34 as	modified by MCGAA S	tatement #7	and other generally			
14.			The board or council approves all invoices prior to payment as required by charter or statute.										
15.			To our kn	To our knowledge, bank reconciliations that were reviewed were performed timely.									
If a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission. I, the undersigned, certify that this statement is complete and accurate in all respects.													
We	have	e end	closed the	following	j :	Enclosed	Not Require	Not Required (enter a brief justification)					
Financial Statements													
The letter of Comments and Recommendations													
Other (Describe)													
Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name)							Telephone Number						
Street Address							City	State	Zip				
Authorizing CPA Signature Printed Name License Number								Number					

Financial Report
with Supplemental Information
June 30, 2007

	Contents
Report Letter	I
Balance Sheet	2
Notes to Balance Sheet	3-4
Supplemental Information	5
Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements	6

Plante & Moran, PLLC



27400 Northwestern Highway P.O. Box 307 Southfield, MI 48037-0307 Tel: 248.352.2500 Fax: 248.352.0018 plantemoran.com

Independent Auditor's Report

To Honorable District Judges Mark J. Plawecki and David D. Turfe District Court No. 20 Dearborn Heights, Michigan

We have audited the balance sheet of District Court Funds of District No. 20, Dearborn Heights, Michigan as of June 30, 2007. This financial statement is the responsibility of District Court Funds of District No. 20, Dearborn Heights, Michigan's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of District Court Funds of District No. 20, Dearborn Heights, Michigan as of June 30, 2007, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the balance sheet. The accompanying supplemental information, as identified in the table of contents, is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the balance sheet. The supplemental information has been subject to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the balance sheet and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the balance sheet taken as a whole.

The accompanying balance sheet does not present a management's discussion and analysis, which would be an analysis of the financial performance for the year. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has determined that this analysis is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the balance sheet.

Plante & Moran, PLLC



Balance Sheet June 30, 2007

	Depository Accounts		Bond Account	
Assets - Cash in bank (Note 2)	\$ 583,080	\$	85,668	
Liabilities				
Due to:				
City of Dearborn Heights	\$ 369,162	\$	-	
State of Michigan	148,258		-	
Wayne County	2,400		-	
Bond deposits	-		85,668	
Clearance card deposits	19,817		-	
Other	 43,443			
Total liabilities	\$ 583,080	\$	85,668	

Notes to Balance Sheet June 30, 2007

Note I - Significant Accounting Policies

The funds of District Court No. 20 (the "District Court") are Trust and Agency Funds. The financial activities of the funds are limited to collection of amounts that are subsequently returned or paid to third parties. Accordingly, the operations of the funds are limited to cash transactions.

Note 2 - Cash in Bank

Michigan Compiled Laws Section 129.91 (Public Act 20 of 1943, as amended) authorizes local governmental units to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have offices in Michigan. The local unit is allowed to invest in bonds, securities, and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; repurchase agreements; bankers' acceptances of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications, which matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions, which are rated as investment grade; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan.

The District Court has designated one bank for the deposit of the District Court's funds. The investment policy adopted by the District Court in accordance with Public Act 196 of 1997 has authorized investment in all of the above investments. The District Court's deposits and investment policies are in accordance with statutory authority.

The District Court's cash is subject to custodial credit risk, which is examined in more detail below:

Custodial Credit Risk of Bank Deposits - Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the District Court's deposits may not be returned to it. The District Court does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. At year end, the Court had \$413,541 of bank deposits that were uninsured and uncollateralized. The District Court believes that due to the dollar amounts of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all deposits. As a result, the District Court evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.

Notes to Balance Sheet June 30, 2007

Note 3 - Court Operations

The costs relating to the operation of the District Court (including risk management) are a budgeted item of the City of Dearborn Heights, Michigan Corporate Fund and, accordingly, such costs are paid by the Corporate Fund.

The District Court is exposed to various risks of loss related to property loss, torts, errors and omissions, and employee injuries (workers' compensation), as well as medical benefits provided to employees. The District Court has purchased commercial insurance for medical claims and participates in the Michigan Municipal Risk Management Authority for claims relating to general and auto liability, auto physical damage, and property loss claims. The District Court is uninsured for workers' compensation claims within certain limits. Settled claims relating to the commercial insurance have not exceeded the amount of insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

The Michigan Municipal Risk Management Authority (the "Authority") risk pool operates as a claims servicing pool for amounts up to member retention limits and operates as a common risk-sharing management program for losses in excess of member retention amounts. Although premiums are paid annually to the Authority that the Authority uses to pay claims up to the retention limits, the ultimate liability for those claims remains with the District Court.



Supplemental Information Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements Year Ended June 30, 2007

		Depository Accounts		Bond Account	
Cash Balance - July 1, 2006	\$	487,104	\$	78,996	
Receipts					
Fines and fees		6,119,270		-	
Bonds posted		-		498,182	
Judgments		14,490		12,799	
Total receipts		6,133,760		510,981	
Disbursements					
Transfers:					
District control unit		4,031,288		-	
State of Michigan (including judges' retirement					
payments)		1,877,503		-	
Wayne County		33,141		-	
Bond refunds		-		491,510	
Refunds and other		8,312		-	
Judgments		-		12,799	
Clearance card fees		87,540			
Total disbursements		6,037,784		504,309	
Cash Balance - June 30, 2007	<u>\$</u>	583,080	\$	85,668	